



Arizona Regulatory Board of Physician Assistants

1740 W. Adams St, Suite 4000, Phoenix, AZ 85007
Telephone: 480-551-2700 • Fax: 480-551-2702 • www.azpa.gov

FINAL MINUTES FOR REGULAR SESSION MEETING Held on Wednesday, November 12, 2025 1740 W. Adams St., Board Room A, Phoenix, AZ 85007

Board Members

Susan Reina, P.A.-C, Chair
John J. Shaff, PA-C, D.F.A.A.P.A., Vice-Chair
Levente G. Batizy, D.O.
David J. Bennett, D.O.
Kendra Clark, P.A.-C
Kevin K. Dang, Pharm D.
Michelle DiBaise, D.H.S.c., P.A.-C., D.F.A.A.P.A.
Shiva K. Y. Gosi, M.D., M.P.H., F.A.A.F.P., C.P.E.
Amanda Graham, P.A.-C.

GENERAL BUSINESS

A. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Reina called the meeting to order at 10:02 a.m.

B. ROLL CALL

The following Board members were present: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, PA Clark Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham.

The following Board members were absent: Dr. Dang

ALSO PRESENT

The following Board staff were present: Raquel Rivera, Executive Director; Joseph McClain, M.D., Chief Medical Consultant; Nicole Samaradellis, Investigations Manager; and Michelle Robles, Board Operations Manager. Carrie Smith Assistant Attorney General (“AAG”) was also present.

C. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

Individuals that addressed the Board during the Call to the Public appear beneath the matter(s) referenced.

D. REVIEW, DISCUSSION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT

- Update on ARBoPA Outreach Activities

Ms. Rivera reported that in 2025, the PA Board provided presentations to NAU PA Program, AT Still Class of 2025 and 2027. In 2026, the PA Board is scheduled to present at Midwestern University and ASAPA.

- FSMB 2026 Annual Meeting

Ms. Rivera reported that the FSMB Annual Meeting is scheduled to take place in Baltimore, Maryland from April 30-May 2, 2026 and that the FSMB offers 4 scholarships. Ms. Rivera noted that she has been asked to co-present at the FSMB with PBI related to remedial CME and the Board’s process for ordering CME and our compliance process. Ms. Rivera provided the presentation abstract for your review and consideration.

- Status Update related to PA Sunset Audit Report Findings and Recommendations

Ms. Rivera provided the report for the Board's reference and the Board's all staff presentation where she went over the results of the audit and how we plan to address the findings.

- Update on Board Appointments

Ms. Rivera reported that she has not been informed of any new appointments or reappointments at this time. However, she has a meeting scheduled tomorrow with the Governor's Office to discuss the status of the AMB and PA board appointments and will send an email with any new information.

E. REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING CHAIR'S REPORT

No report was given.

F. REVIEW DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING LEGAL ADVISOR'S REPORT

- Legislative Update

Ms. Smith noted that HB2025, which expands the scope of practice for medical assistants. The new language allows MAs to place and remove urinary catheters if they are appropriately trained and working under general supervision of a practitioner including physician assistants. Ms. Smith explained how 'general supervision' is defined in the new statute. Ms. Smith also reviewed HB2173, which may require the board to revise the question on the application related to mental health inquiries and health inquiries. Because that question is also in rule, Ms. Smith noted that it may also require the Board to engage in some rulemaking to address the statutory change.

G. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- August 27, 2025 Regular Session; including Executive Session

MOTION: Dr. Bennett moved to approve the August 27, 2025 Regular Session Meeting minutes; including Executive Session.

SECOND: PA Clark.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, PA Clark Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham. The following Board members were absent: Dr. Dang.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

LEGAL MATTERS

H. REVIEW, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON PROPOSED BOARD ORDER ARISING FROM ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RECOMMENDED DECISION

1. PA-24-0097A, JOHN M. IVERSON, P.A., LIC. #6073

PA Iverson was not present. Seth Hargraves, AAG was present on behalf of the State. Deanie Reh, AAG was present as the Board's independent legal advisor.

Mr. Hargraves summarized that this case was initiated by the Board back in January after receiving a complaint with multiple allegations from a former co-worker regarding PA Iverson's conduct at his place of employment, including that he engaged in inappropriate verbal interactions with his patients, billed for services not rendered, and inappropriately prescribed medication to patients. As part of the Board's investigation, PA Iverson was requested to provide staff with a narrative response to the complaint, a copy of medical records for three selected patients, and a copy of his supervision agreement. PA Iverson never provided the requested records. An administrator for the clinic that he worked at provided a response asserting that he was a collaborating physician assistant and was not

required to practice pursuant to a supervision agreement. When he was notified that PA Iverson was not, in fact, certified by the Board to act as a collaborating physician assistant, the clinic submitted two physician supervision agreements, one with an effective date of January of 2024, and the second effective date of January, 2025. It was subsequently determined that the supervision agreements were invalid and essentially fraudulent. It was subsequently determined that PA Iverson performed health tasks, including prescribing controlled substances without a valid supervision agreement. He also failed to comply with a Board-issued interim order for biological fluid, nail, and or hair testing. In July of this year, this Board voted to summarily suspend his license based on a finding of public health safety and welfare imperatively required emergency action, and this matter was set for hearing before the Office of Administrative Hearings on September 10th, 2025. PA Iverson was present at the hearing, testified and submitted evidence. Evidence including text messages showing his attempt to obtain a backdated supervision agreement to cover the timeframe where he was practicing without one. On September 29th, the Administrative Law Judge issued her decision with 38 Findings of Fact, six Conclusions of Law and a recommendation upholding the summary suspension and revoking PA Iverson's license. On behalf of the State, Mr. Hargraves requested that the Board accept the decision with two minor typographical revisions. One in Finding of Fact 10 to correct the investigator's name and the numbering of the Conclusions of Law.

MOTION: PA Shaff moved to adopt the Findings of Fact, with the requested typographical corrections to Finding of Fact # 10.

SECOND: Dr. Batizy.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, PA Clark, Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham. The following Board member was absent: Dr. Dang.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

MOTION: PA Shaff moved to adopt the Conclusions of Law, with the requested corrections to the paragraph numbering.

SECOND: PA Clark.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, PA Clark, Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham. The following Board member was absent: Dr. Dang.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

MOTION: PA Shaff moved to adopt the ALJ's Recommendation for Revocation of License.

SECOND: Dr. DiBaise.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, PA Clark, Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham. The following Board member was absent: Dr. Dang.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

I. FORMAL LICENSING INTERVIEWS

1. PA-25-0037A, MELISSA J. BERE, P.A., LIC. #N/A
PA Bere was present with counsel Sara Stark.

Board staff summarized that Madam Chair and members of the Board, this matter is before you today to approve, deny or take other action regarding PA Bere's initial license application. PA Bere has met all educational and examination requirements; however, the issue today is whether PA Bere's five-year lapse in practice and Training Probation have been remediated to the degree that she should be licensed to practice in the State of Arizona. PA Bere disclosed on her license application that she has not been in continuous practice as a PA for the past five years. PA Bere was initially licensed in Arizona on November 14, 2018 and she allowed her license to expire on September 23, 2020. PA

Bere stated that there was a lapse in practice after elective procedures were halted in Arizona due to the COVID-19 pandemic. PA Bere stated that she left plastic and reconstructive surgery to focus on aesthetics and skincare, and that she has been working as the Director of Operations at a design firm in Scottsdale. PA Bere reported that she has traveled to tradeshow to better her skillset and has attended master classes on skin health and skincare products. PA Bere submitted documentation of CME completed in addition to demonstrating that she is currently enrolled in a CME course that follows the NCCPA Blueprint and offers 30 Category I CME credit hours. PA Bere reported that she was scheduled to attend additional master conferences and professional events this year. At the time that PA Bere applied for initial licensure in 2018, she disclosed PA Training Probation on her application. PA Bere explained that she was placed on academic probation due to course failure. She stated that she remediated clinical anatomy with a final course grade of 97/100, satisfied the academic requirements and the probation was terminated. The Board received PA Training Program Certification confirming that PA Bere was counseled and placed on Probation, that she successfully remediated the course, completed the remainder of the curriculum and graduated in good standing. If granted licensure, PA Bere reported plans to combine her knowledge and passion for skincare with her background in plastic and reconstructive surgery to create personalized skincare regimens. PA Bere is NCCPA certified until December 31, 2026 and has no prior license issues or actions. SIRC reviewed the case and recognized that PA Bere is not currently licensed in any state and noted that the Board has consistently required providers out of practice for more than three years to undergo a competency evaluation prior to consideration of licensure. SIRC noted that PA Bere last practiced in plastic surgery in 2020 and plans to transition to dermatological care. SIRC stated that it is important that the Board obtain objective information related to the PA's ability to safely perform health care tasks and for these reasons, recommended that PA Bere be requested to undergo a competency evaluation. Board staff submitted to PA Bere a request to undergo a competency evaluation at a Board approved facility accompanied by a copy of the SIRC Report. In response to this request and through legal counsel, PA Bere requested that the matter be forwarded to the Board before making a decision regarding the competency evaluation. The Executive Director reviewed the case and recommended maintaining SIRC's recommendation for a competency evaluation or allow the applicant to present for a Licensing Interview at a later meeting as requested. The Board considered this matter at its August regular session meeting and voted to grant the applicant's request for a formal licensing interview before the Board prior to making a decision on the request to complete a competency evaluation. PA Bere submitted additional CME documentation earlier this week that is available in the file for the Board's review and has been highlighted as supplemental material.

Ms. Stark noted that PA Bere inadvertently let her license expire during COVID. The practice where she was working at the time was struggling so at the time she explored another avenue. She still kept up her CME and Board Certification. She is considering reinstating her license to be able to sell skin care products.

During questioning, PA Reina inquired about the Up-to-Date CME that was submitted.

PA Bere stated that she uses Up-to-Date as a quick resource. During COVID she did consider still practicing but given the time and income she chose to move forward with interior design. Going forward she plans to work for a skincare company to sell products. PA Bere clarified it will be on the business side and wants her license for credibility. PA Bere informed the Board of her practice and training history. PA Bere informed the Board of the Color Science training she provided in her supplemental materials. PA Bere confirmed that she has completed three PANRE quarters and her next is due in December. She is currently above the passing grade and will be completed in December 2026. PA Bere confirmed her job does not require her state license. She was not aware that her license expired and when she became aware that it had she contacted the Board. PA Bere opined that she is still qualified to practice medicine in different areas.

PA Clark expressed concern that she will not be treating and diagnosing patients with this license in her job.

PA Bere confirmed that she feels confident in diagnosis. PA Bere stated that she does not intend to do any procedures but she opined that she is capable and confident.

Board staff informed the Board of staff's process and reasoning for requiring competency evaluations when a physician assistant has been out of practice for a certain amount of time and what those evaluations entail.

PA Bere confirmed that she does not have a license in another state.

Ms. Stark provided a closing statement and noted that with state licensure and her national board certification, PA Bere is going to have to do continuing education. In addition, she's going to have to have a supervising physician. She's not going out and practicing independently at this point. Ms. Stark opined that those are all appropriate safeguards to address the Board's concerns if she does decide to go into a different field other than skincare. Ms. Stark stated that the Board's position requiring someone to do a competency exam if they've been out of practice for three years is not set in rule or statute. Ms. Stark reiterated that there has never been an allegation that there is a deviation from the standard of care, there's no mental health concerns, there's no substance abuse concerns, this was strictly a career change during the pandemic.

During deliberations, PA Reina noted that this case is not regarding unprofessional conduct. PA Reina opined that the Board should uphold the precedent to require the competency evaluation. The Board must ensure that a PA is competent after five years out of practice to protect the public.

MOTION: PA Reina moved to return the case for further investigation to request that the applicant complete a competency evaluation.

SECOND: PA Clark.

Dr. DiBaise suggested if the Board would feel more comfortable awaiting the PANRE results and if that would change the Board's mind regarding competency. PA Clark opined that it would not change the need to go through the competency evaluation since it's been five years. PA Shaff noted that the PANRE would not be completed until 2026. PA Clark agreed with the motion given the time out of practice. PA Reina further stated that if the license is granted and she doesn't provide patient care for the next two years the time out of practice continues.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, PA Clark, Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham. The following Board member was absent: Dr. Dang.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

J. FORMAL INTERVIEWS

1. PA-24-0033A, PA-24-0051A, COLIN R. HICKENLOOPER, P.A., LIC. #9219
PA Hickenlooper was present with counsel Flynn Carey.

Board staff summarized that Case PA-24-0033 and case PA-24-0051 will be considered together in this report. Case PA-24-0033 was brought to the Board on March 15, 2024 by a Pharmacist at Walgreens Pharmacy. The complaint specifically states that PA Hickenlooper wrote a prescription for Percocet with intentionally fictitious directions than what the patient actually takes in order for the patient to get a larger quantity than usual because they were going on vacation out of state. In this situation the pharmacist writes PA Hickenlooper should have sent another prescription to the state the patient will be in when they are due for a refill. PA Hickenlooper told the patient he cannot prescribe outside of AZ. The Pharmacist states in their complaint that they confirmed with the Board that this is not true. However, once the pharmacist brought this up to PA Hickenlooper, PA Hickenlooper rewrote the prescription for the patient's regular dose. PA Hickenlooper

responded to the complaint by writing that the prescription in question was written on March 15, 2024, inappropriately. On March 15, 2024, PA Hickenlooper sent a cancellation for the first prescription and a new prescription for the appropriate amount. This occurred within 45 minutes. When the patient notified PA Hickenlooper's clinic on March 20th, 2024, that only the regular dose of Percocet could be prescribed, the patient asked for the extra dosing while traveling. The prescription was already filled at the appropriate level. PA Hickenlooper encouraged the patient to stretch the amount that she was dispensed and to make a follow up appointment prior to her travel. She did not follow up until she returned from her trip. PA Hickenlooper states that he did not personally talk with the complainant about this matter. He did notify the patient that it was, to the best of his knowledge, not appropriate to send prescriptions to pharmacies in states that he did not hold a valid license in. PA Hickenlooper states this has been his understanding for over 29 years of practice. The patient never received more than a 30-day supply of medication. The case was reviewed by a Medical Consultant ("MC") who mentions that the provider increased medications to accommodate the patients' travel request with good intention. The MC determined that PA Hickenlooper deviated from the standard of care by inappropriately prescribing an opioid. The MC stated that a travel exemption was not obtained, and more pills were prescribed than appropriate for a 30-day window. Regarding PA-24-0051, the complaint was received on March 29, 2024. The complaint was made by an anesthesiologist who saw one of PA Hickenlooper's patient for preoperative screening for a surgical procedure. This physician was surprised by the number of narcotics that PA Hickenlooper had prescribed to this patient when they queried the CSPMP as part of their preoperative screening. The Board's MC reviewed the case and outlined the 2022 CDC Guidelines on the prescription of controlled substances. The MC found that PA Hickenlooper deviated from the standard of care by not prescribing the lowest effective dose of medication, by prescribing 375 pills in one month without adequate documentation, by not appropriately using the PMP and by prescribing opioid medications concurrently with diazepam without proper documented indications. SIRC considered it aggravating that PA Hickenlooper did not accept responsibility for his actions and blamed the patient for not being compliant with the treatment agreement. SIRC remained troubled by PA Hickenlooper's response blaming the patient's non-compliance; however, SIRC noted that PA Hickenlooper has failed to address his lack of monitoring and action taken after the CSPMP reports identified multiple prescribers and alcohol present in UDS testing. SIRC also considered the MCs finding of inadequate documentation to support prescriptions. SIRC determined that there could have been significant potential harm due to the prescribing of opioids and diazepam together without adequate monitoring. In both cases PA Hickenlooper's Supervising Physician provided a detailed explanation as to why his prescribing practices were appropriate.

Mr. Carey provided an opening statement and stated that PA Hickenlooper resisted writing a prescription in Alabama and given the Alabama prescribing code and opined that he does not disagree with PA Hickenlooper's position. Mr. Carey noted that PA Hickenlooper recognized his prescribing error in 45 minutes and was willing to listen to the pharmacist. Given the second complaint, Mr. Carey noted that the MC opined that there was no bad intent. Mr. Carey further noted that PA Hickenlooper's Supervising Physician supported his prescribing. Mr. Carey stated that PA Hickenlooper acknowledges that his records could be more thorough and noted that PA Hickenlooper has since taken 44 hours of CME on prescribing. Mr. Carey stated that there was no patient harm in these two cases and requested a non-disciplinary outcome given the significant remediation.

During questioning, PA Hickenlooper stated that going forward he will be providing careful, concise and complete documentation. PA Hickenlooper further noted that he hasn't collaborated with physicians in different specialties which is something he needs to do. PA Hickenlooper stated that it was difficult to determine who was drug seeking and who wasn't so going forward his suspicion threshold has increased.

PA Shaff noted that catching mistakes and correcting them in a timely manner speaks volumes.

PA Hickenlooper informed the Board of his license history in Arizona and Ohio, California and Montana. PA Hickenlooper confirmed that he is currently unemployed and if the opportunity presents itself he would return to pain management or orthopedics. PA Hickenlooper explained that at the time his Supervising Physician would come in once a week for procedures they would sit and discuss any patients he had concerns and questions about.

Mr. Carey provided a closing statement and noted defensive charting, trust to verify and coordinating patient care in pain management and opined that PA Hickenlooper has learned a great deal from this experience. Mr. Carey reiterated there was no patient harm and requested a non-disciplinary outcome be issued and the Interim Practice Restriction be lifted.

MOTION: PA Shaff moved in case PA-24-0033A there was no finding of unprofessional conduct and moved for dismissal.

SECOND: PA Clark.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, PA Clark, Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham. The following Board member was absent: Dr. Dang.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

MOTION: PA Shaff moved that in case PA-24-0051A there was a finding of unprofessional conduct in violation of A.R.S. § 32-2501(20)(p) in both cases.

SECOND: Dr. Bennett.

PA Reina spoke against the motion due to the inclusion of the A.R.S. § 32-2501(20)(j) violation.

Ms. Smith clarified that this is just to determine whether there is a finding of unprofessional conduct.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham. The following Board member voted against the motion: PA Clark. The following Board member was absent: Dr. Dang.

VOTE: 7-yay, 1-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

MOTION: PA Shaff moved to lift the current practice restriction and to issue an Advisory Letter for inadequate documentation. While the licensee has demonstrated substantial compliance through rehabilitation or remediation that has mitigated the need for disciplinary action, the board believes that repetition of the activities that led to the investigation may result in further board action against the licensee.

SECOND: PA Graham.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, PA Clark, Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham. The following Board member was absent: Dr. Dang.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

CONSENT AGENDA

K. CASES RECOMMENDED FOR ADVISORY LETTERS WITH NON-DISCIPLINARY CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION ORDER

1. PA-24-0024A, JIM E. DAULTON, P.A., LIC. #8578

MOTION: PA Shaff moved to issue an Advisory Letter and Order for Non-Disciplinary CME for inappropriate treatment of pharyngitis. While there is insufficient evidence to support disciplinary action, the board believes that continuation of the activities that led to the investigation may result in further board action against the licensee.

Within six months, complete no less than 3 hours of Board staff pre-approved Category I CME in the evaluation and treatment of pharyngitis. The CME hours shall be in addition to the hours required for license renewal.

SECOND: PA Clark.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, PA Clark, Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham. The following Board member was absent: Dr. Dang.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

L. REVIEW OF EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DISMISSALS

1. PA-24-0062A, DONALD L. HAIR, P.A., LIC. #5062

MOTION: PA Shaff moved to uphold the Executive Director's dismissal.

SECOND: PA Graham.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, PA Clark, Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham. The following Board member was absent: Dr. Dang.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

2. PA-24-0065A, MYLES A. WHITFIELD, P.A., LIC. #1917

PA Reina, PA Shaff, PA Clark, Dr. Batizy and Dr. Bennet noted that they know PA Whitfield however this will not affect their ability to adjudicate the case.

MOTION: PA Shaff moved to uphold the Executive Director's dismissal.

SECOND: PA Reina.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, PA Clark, Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham. The following Board member was absent: Dr. Dang.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

M. PROPOSED CONSENT AGREEMENTS (Disciplinary)

1. PA-23-0032A, JOSHUA E. MOTL, P.A., LIC. #5678

MOTION: PA Shaff moved to accept the Decree of Censure and Two Year Probation. Within thirty days, PA Motl shall obtain access to the Canyon Medical Clinic EMR; and provide the Board with a written explanation regarding how he intends to comply with the retention of medical records requirements in the State of Arizona, including a protocol for the secure storage, transfer, and access of the medical records, the procedure by which the physician assistant shall inform each patient regarding the future location of the patient's medical records, and how the patient can access those records. PA Motl shall not request early termination of Probation without having obtained access to the EMR and provided proof of compliance with the protocols regarding the retention of medical records. The Probation shall not terminate except upon affirmative request of the physician and approval by the Board.

SECOND: Dr. DiBaise.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, PA Clark, Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham. The following Board member was absent: Dr. Dang.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

2. PA-25-0023A, JOHN M. STROH, P.A., LIC. #5719

MOTION: Dr. DiBaise moved to accept the Letter of Reprimand and Probation. Within six months, complete CPEP's ProBE course in ethics. The CME hours shall be in

addition to the hours required for license renewal. The licensee shall obtain an unconditional or conditionally passing grade. The Probation shall not terminate except upon affirmative request of the physician assistant and approval by the Board, and PA Stroh's request for termination shall be accompanied by proof of successful completion of the CME.

SECOND: PA Graham.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, PA Clark, Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham. The following Board member was absent: Dr. Dang.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

N. LICENSE APPLICATIONS

i. CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE OR DENY LICENSE APPLICATION, OR TAKE OTHER ACTION

1. PA-25-0046A, JOHN B. MILES, P.A., LIC. # N/A

MOTION: PA Clark moved to grant the license.

SECOND: Dr. DiBaise.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, PA Clark, Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham. The following Board member was absent: Dr. Dang.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

ii. REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICANT'S REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENT

1. ALICE RAFF, P.A, LIC. # N/A

MOTION: PA Shaff moved to grant the waiver request.

SECOND: PA Graham.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, PA Clark, Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham. The following Board member was absent: Dr. Dang.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

2. CHIARA P. CASTELLI, P.A, LIC. # N/A

MOTION: PA Clark moved to grant the waiver request.

SECOND: PA Shaff.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, PA Clark, Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham. The following Board member was absent: Dr. Dang.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

OTHER BUSINESS

O. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION: PA Clark moved to adjourn.

SECOND: Dr. DiBaise.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: PA Reina, PA Shaff, Dr. Batizy, Dr. Bennett, PA Clark, Dr. DiBaise, Dr. Gosi and PA Graham. The following Board member was absent: Dr. Dang.

**VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.
MOTION PASSED.**

The meeting was adjourned at 11:58 a.m.



Raquel Rivera

Raquel Rivera, Executive Director